

AGENDA

Meeting Location:

Sloat Room—Atrium Building 99 W. 10th Avenue Eugene, OR 97401

Phone: 541-682-5481 www.eugene-or.gov/pc

The Eugene Planning Commission welcomes your interest in these agenda items. Feel free to come and go as you please at any of the meetings. This meeting location is wheelchair-accessible. For the hearing impaired, FM assistive-listening devices are available or an interpreter can be provided with 48 hours notice prior to the meeting. Spanish-language interpretation will also be provided with 48 hours notice. To arrange for these services, contact the Planning Division at 541-682-5675.

MONDAY, MAY 6, 2013 – REGULAR MEETING (11:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.)

11:30 a.m. I. PUBLIC COMMENT

The Planning Commission reserves 10 minutes at the beginning of this meeting for public comment. The public may comment on any matter, <u>except</u> for items scheduled for public hearing or public hearing items for which the record has already closed. Generally, the time limit for public comment is three minutes; however, the Planning Commission reserves the option to reduce the time allowed each speaker based on the number of people requesting to speak.

11:40 a.m. II. SCENARIO PLANNING

Staff: Steve Nystrom, 541-682-8385

Staff: Kent Howe, LCOG

12:10 p.m. III. OUTSTANDING ISSUE BIN

Lead: Planning Commissioners

1:15 p.m. IV. <u>ITEMS FROM COMMISSION AND STAFF</u>

- A. Other Items from Staff
- B. Other Items from Commission
- C. Learning: How are we doing?

Commissioners: Steven Baker; Jonathan Belcher; Rick Duncan; Randy Hledik, Chair; John Jaworski;

Jeffery Mills; William Randall, Vice Chair

AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY May 6, 2013

To: Eugene Planning Commission

From: Steve Nystrom, Principal Planner

Subject: Central Lane Scenario Planning Overview

ISSUE STATEMENT

This work session is an opportunity to provide the Planning Commission with an overview of the Central Lane Scenario Planning project. House Bill 2001 set forth the scope of this regional project involving the Central Lane Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). Kent Howe with LCOG is serving as the project manager and will provide information on the process, scope and timing of this project.

BACKGROUND

In short, HB 2001 requires the Central Lane MPO to develop two or more land use and transportation scenarios that accommodates growth while achieving a reduction in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Ultimately, the various jurisdictions within the MPO are required to select one of these scenarios and report their findings to the state legislature by 2015. However, the MPO is not required to implement the selected scenario.

A synopsis of the project objectives is provided in the attachment. Additional materials will be made available at the work session.

For further information about the scenario planning project, please contact Kent Howe at khowe@lcog.org

ATTACHMENT

Project Overview

CENTRAL LANE SCENARIO PLANNING: PROJECT OVERVIEW

Scenario: A term used to describe a possible future, representing a hypothetical set of strategies or sequence of events.

Defining Scenario Planning

Scenario planning is a process that tests different actions and policies to see their effect on quality of life indicators.

Purpose Statement

Develop two or more scenarios consistent with the requirements of House Bill (HB) 2001 and explore beyond land use and transportation, options that include public health, economic development, job creation and equity within the Central Lane Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) that would enhance livability, ensure social equity, increase transportation choices, provide affordable housing, and build a healthy local economy and report our findings to the Legislature by February of 2015.

Goals:

- 1. Collaborative regional process to select a preferred scenario that meets HB 2001
- 2. Engage and inform region's decision makers, public agencies and community stakeholders on actions needed to meet HB 2001
- 3. Integrate community health, economic development and equity into the scenarios
- 4. Use scenario planning, visualization and other analysis tools to assess benefits and impacts of tested scenarios for outcome based evaluation
- 5. Build consensus, ownership and support for investments and actions needed to achieve local ambitions.
- 6. Develop clear vision of changes individual jurisdictions need to make to contribute to the region's future economy and community health.

Objectives:

- 1. Improve community awareness on how investments affect community health
- 2. Use technology tools (sketch models for scenario planning) to develop and evaluate at least two scenarios that meet HB 2001
- 3. Establish appropriate reference scenario data and analysis tools for evaluation
- 4. Use regional models.
- 5. Coordinate with other state, regional and other planning efforts
- 6. Develop process recommendations for other MPO's

Establish Project Management Team (PMT) and Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

Establish coordination procedures

Establish internal website with program management and technical materials

Prepare Communication Plan and Materials

Develop Public Engagement Plan

Contract Negotiations on Next Phases of Work

Implement modeling software - GreenStep model

Train and test GreenStep model

Review Goals and Policies and Programs of each Jurisdiction

Collect Land Use and other data for base case (2005 and 2010)

Setup Base Case, analyze, results to Jurisdictions

Describe Land Use and other data for reference case (2035 and 2050)

Setup Reference Case, analyze, results to Jurisdictions

Develop code to compute evaluation criteria as needed

HUD Task 4 Phase: Work related to the Lane Livability Consortium SMART Communities Project

ID Champions, Community Leaders and Regional Stakeholders

Kick Off Meeting with Jurisdictions

Finalize Public Engagement Plan

Conduct Public Health, Economic Development and Equity Analyses

Establish Guiding Principles

Establish Evaluation Criteria

July to December 2013

Levers Phase

Conduct sensitivity testing runs

Prepare results of sensitivity runs

Prepare Base and Reference Case Scenarios

Public Engagement Phase I

Present findings

Other items as determined from earlier phase

January to December 2014

Selection Phase

Rulemaking Report

Scenario Development and Evaluation

Run alternative scenarios

Public Engagement Phase II

Collaborative Selection of Preferred Scenario

January to February 2015

Preferred Scenario Legislative Report

T:\MPO\Scenario Planning\Project Overview.docx

Last Saved: May 1, 2013